





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/464,795	12/16/1999	NING ZHANG	PXE-007.US	8087
23419	7590 07/25/2003	•		
COOLEY. GODWARD, LLP 3000 EL CAMINO REAL 5 PALO ALTO SQUARE		EXAMINER		
			SHUKLA	SHUKLA, RAM R
PALO ALTO), CA 94306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	25
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 09/464,795 ZHANG ET AL. Examiner Art Unit Ram R. Shukla --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 7-21-03 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) The period for reply expires ____ ___months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \(\sum \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \(\sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the (d) \(\sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 38,40,41,43,45,46,49 and 65-68. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 10. Other: _

RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINED

Ram R. Shukla, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

Application No. 009/464,795 Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed amendments to claims 38 and 65 recite numerous genes, which will require further consideration

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants reiterated their earlier arguments and did not present any new evidence. All the rejections set forth in the previous office action are maintained for reasons of record set forth in

> RAM R. SHUKLA, PH.D. **PRIMARY EXAMINER**